calmly borne by a submissive Oriental race like the ancient Attempt of Egyptians, but it naturally proved a stumbling-block to

the $fn^{\text{ole}}_{t}^{\text{TM} y}$

less patient temperament of their European conquerors, reform the

Accordingly In the reign of King Ptolemy III. Euergetes a $f^n nd^m$

decree was passed that henceforth the movable Egyptian by interyear should be converted Into a fixed solar year by the Intercalation of one day at the end of every four years,

order that the seasons may do their duty perpetually

according to the present constitution of the world, and

It may not happen, through the shifting of the star by one

day In four years, that some of the public festivals which

are now held in the winter should ever be celebrated in the

summer, and that other festivals now held in the summer

should hereafter be celebrated in the winter, as has happened

before, and must happen again If the year of three hundred and sixty-five clays be retained/3 The decree was passed in

year 239 or 238 B.C. by the high priests, scribes, and other

dignitaries of the Egyptian church assembled in convocation

at Canopus; but we cannot doubt that the measure, though

It embodied native Egyptian science, was prompted by the

king or his Macedonian advisers. This sage attempt to

reform the erratic calendar was not permanently successful.

The change may indeed have been carried out during the

reign of the king who Instituted It, but it was abandoned by

the year 196 B.C. at latest, as we learn from the celebrated

Inscription known as the Rosetta stone, in which a month

of the Macedonian calendar Is equated to the corresponding

month of the movable Egyptian year.² And the testimony

of Gemlnus, which I have cited, proves that in the

follow-

ing century the festivals were still revolving In the old style.

The reform which the Macedonian king had vainly institution

attempted to impose upon his people was accomplished by $$^{\mbox{\scriptsize fixed}}$$

the practical Romans when they took over the admlnistra-

year by

1 Copies of the decree in hieropp. 205 ^e
glyphic, demotic, and Greek have been mentioned in omansfound inscribed on stones in Egypt. star (Sirius).

See Ch. Michel, Recueil tf Inscriptions
Grecques(Brussels, 1900), pp. 415^.,
No. 551; W. Dittenberger, Orimtis
Orientis Graed
Graed Imcriptiones Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.
potential Selectae (Leipsic, pp. 140
1903-1905), vol. i. pp. 91 sqq.) No.